



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6969
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' KNOWLEDGE AND CULTIVATION OF COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Verdc.) IN THREE COMMUNITIES OF BOLGATANGA MUNICIPALITY, UPPER EAST REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract should not contain all details under the result section and the length should be appreciably reduced. All the sections of the result should be discussed in line with the existing facts and figures from other researchers.	The Abstract has been carefully revised (the length appreciably reduced). All sections of the result have been carefully discussed accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Mistakes on constructions should be properly attended to.	All mistakes, both grammatical and constructional have been corrected and coloured yellow.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscripts could be accepted for publication after all the corrections have been made.	All corrections have been effectively made as proposed by the reviewers.